



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,893	09/23/2003	Peter Schwarze	13914-025001 / 2003P00070	2237
32864 7590 07/10/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C. PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			EXAMINER ROSEN, NICHOLAS D	
			ART UNIT 3625	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/10/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/669,893

Applicant(s)

SCHWARZE ET AL.

Examiner

Nicholas D. Rosen

Art Unit

3625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nicholas D. Rosen.

(3) _____.

(2) Stephen Schaefer.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 12, 24 and 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mendelson.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Nicholas D. Rosen
NICHOLAS D. ROSEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner Rosen called Mr. Schaefer to suggest amendments to the claims. Except in claim 25 (which was rejected as obvious), the "catalog search agent" could be read as either being on an application server, or on the client computer, although the spec discloses an application server. Examiner Rosen pointed out that claim 12 had been rejected as obvious, based on Mendelson as a secondary reference, since determining proxy settings was nothing novel. However, if the independent claims specified an application server, the limitation of claim 12 might be non-obvious, because it might not be obvious for the proxy settings of the requestor's browser to affect what catalog servers were available through a separate application server. Examiner Rosen warned that further search, and probably discussions with his supervisor would be necessary if the claims were amended in this way, but he did want to point out the possibility to the applicants.